Enantiospecific Synthesis of C-Methyl Azidoinositols and Aminocyclitols from Toluene

Howard A. J. Carless* and Shahnaz S. Malik

Department of Chemistry, Birkbeck College, Gordon House, 29 Gordon Square, London WC1H OPP, UK

(Received 20 July 1992)

Abstract: The total synthesis of the homochiral C-methyl azidoinositols (9), (17) and (20), and the aminocyclitols (10), (18) and (21) from toluene has been achieved, via the key steps of microbial oxidation of toluene and photo-oxidation of the resulting cyclohexadienediol (4).

The importance of the aminoglycoside antibiotics¹ ensures that there is continuing interest in the synthesis of aminocyclitols, both as naturally-occurring isomers^{2,3} and as synthetic analogues.^{3,4} The ability of various aminocyclitols to act as powerful glycosidase enzyme inhibitors⁵ adds further relevance to this area. In addition, Kozikowski and co-workers have found that azidoinositols such as the *myo* isomer (1) can have an inhibitory effect on the growth of tumour cells.⁶ Recently published routes to chiral aminocyclitols have used a variety of approaches: the *myo*-configuration aminocyclitol (2) has been synthesised from D-glucose utilising Ferrier cyclisation,⁷ whereas the azidoinositol (1)⁶ was prepared from the cyclitol L-quebrachitol, which occurs in rubber latex. Examples of enantiospecific syntheses from symmetrical intermediates are fewer:³ the *allo*-aminocyclitol (3) has been prepared *via* a chiral Diels-Alder cycloaddition of a nitrosodienophile to *cis*-cyclohexa-3,5-diene-1,2-diol diacetate.⁸ We now describe enantiospecific total syntheses of three *C*-methyl azidoinositols [(9), (17), (20)], and the corresponding aminocyclitols (10), (18) and (21), starting from toluene and using microbial oxidation as the source of homochiral intermediates.

Microbial oxidation of toluene by *Pseudomonas putida* mutants gave the chiral cyclohexadienediol (4) (>98% *ee*) having (15,2R) stereochemistry. Dye-sensitised photo-oxidation of (4), followed by thiourea reduction, gave the tetrol (5) as major product, as a result of attack by ${}^{1}O_{2}$ *anti* to the hydroxyl groups of the cyclohexadiene system. Isopropylidenation of (5) and epoxidation of the bis-allylic alcohol (6) gave a single stereoisomer of epoxide (7). Ring opening of the epoxide (7) by azide ion proceeded regioselectively, to afford in 71% yield the protected azidoinositol (8) having the *chiro*-configuration. Acid-catalysed hydrolysis gave 1L-1-C-methyl-3-azido-3-deoxy-*chiro*-inositol (9). Hydrogenation using platinum yielded the corresponding *chiro*-aminocyclitol (10), additionally purified as its penta-acetate (11).

Scheme 1: i, *Pseudomonas putida*; ii, O₂, CH₂Cl₂, methylene blue, hv; then thiourea, MeOH, 18 h (53%); iii, Me₂CO, (MeO)₂CMe₂, TsOH (92%); iv, *m*-CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, 2 days (61%); v, DMF, H₂O (20:1), NaN₃, reflux, 20 h (71%); vi, HOAc, H₂O (1:9), 80°C, 1 h (96%); vii, Pt, H₂, 50 psi, EtOH, 4 h (92%); viii, Ac₂O, pyridine (83%).

To gain access to the *myo*-inosamine stereochemistry, it was necessary to change the configuration at C-4 in the protected tetrol (6). This was achieved by tetrapropylammonium perruthenate (TPAP) oxidation (73%), ¹¹ followed by Luche reduction ¹² of the enone (12) (57%). Epoxidation of the bis-allylic alcohol (13) gave a single stereoisomer of epoxide (14), whose configuration was confirmed from the fact that both it and epoxyalcohol (7) were oxidised in good yield by TPAP to the same $\alpha\beta$ -epoxyketone (15).

The key intermediate (14) underwent ring opening by heating with sodium azide in DMF/water, to give a mixture of azidoalcohols in which the two main components were (16) and (19), separated in 30% and 25% yields, respectively (Scheme 2). The *myo* configuration of (16) was clearly shown from ¹H nmr coupling constants, and it was converted to 1D-4-C-methyl-5-azido-5-deoxy-*myo*-inositol (17) and the corresponding *myo*-inos-5-amine (18) by similar reactions to those shown in Scheme 1.

(6)
$$\stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow}$$
 $\stackrel{HO}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{HO}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow}$

Scheme 2: i, TPAP, N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide, CH_2Cl_2 , 4 Å molecular sieves (73-79%); ii, $CeCl_3$.7 H_2O , $NaBH_4$, MeOH (57%); iii, m-CPBA, CH_2Cl_2 , 4 days (70%); iv, DMF, H_2O (20:1), NaN_3 , reflux, 14 h.

Compound (19) proved to have the *neo*-inositol configuration and was converted to 1L-1-C-methyl-3-azido-3-deoxy-*neo*-inositol (20) and then to the corresponding *neo*-inos-3-amine (21). The *neo*-inositol stereochemistry is seen as arising from Payne rearrangement ¹³ of the epoxyalcohol (14) to give epoxide (22), and subsequent azide ring opening to give (19), as shown in Scheme 3.

Scheme 3

References and Notes

- S. Umezawa, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 1974, 30, 111; D. A. Cox, K. Richardson and B. C. Ross, in "Topics in Antibiotic Chemistry", Vol. 1, ed. P. G. Sammes, Ellis Horwood, 1977, p. 1.
- Review: T. Suami and S. Ogawa, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 1990, 48, 22; for recent examples, see Fortamine: S. Kobayashi, K. Kamiyama and M. Ohno, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 1169; Hygromycin A: N. Chida, M. Ohtsuka, K. Nakazawa and S. Ogawa, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 2976; Allosamizoline: M. Nakata, S. Akazawa, S. Kitamura and K. Tatsuta, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 5363; Mannostatin A: S. B. King and B. Ganem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5089; B. M. Trost and D. L. Van Vranken, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 6317; S. Knapp and T. G. M. Dhar, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 4096.
- 3. J. Schubert, R. Schwesinger, L. Knothe and H. Prinzbach, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1986, 2009.
- Valienamine and validamine analogues: S. Ogawa, A. Nakajima and Y. Miyamoto, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1991, 3287; S. Knapp, A. B. J. Naughton and T. G. M. Dhar, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 1025; Conduramines: T. Hudlicky and H. F. Olivo, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 6077; C. R. Johnson, P. A. Plé, L. Su, M. J. Heeg and J. P. Adams, Synlett, 1992, 388; Aminobromocyclitols: E. Reynard, J.-L. Reymond and P. Vogel, Synlett, 1991, 469.
- 5. G. Legler, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 1990, 48, 319.
- 6. A. P. Kozikowski, A. H. Fauq, G. Powis, P. Kurian and F. T. Crews, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 362.
- 7. H. Paulsen and B. Mielke, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1990, 169.
- 8. H. Braun, W. Berger, G. Kresze, F. P. Schmidtchen, J. L. Vaerman and H. G. Viehe, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1990, 1, 403.
- 9. H. A. J. Carless, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1992, 3, 795.
- 10. H. A. J. Carless, J. R. Billinge and O. Z. Oak, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 3113.
- 11. W. P. Griffith and S. V. Ley, Aldrichim. Acta, 1990, 23, 13; W. P. Griffith, S. V. Ley, G. P. Whitcombe and A. D. White, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 1625.
- 12. A. L. Gemal and J.-L. Luche, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 5454.
- 13. G. B. Payne, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1962, 27, 3819; for earlier examples in the carbohydrate field, see J. G. Buchanan, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, 995 and 2511.